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Letter from Mr. Drahoslav Štefánek, Chairperson-Rapporteur, to the members of the Open-ended Working Group on an Optional Protocol to the Convention on the Right of the Child to provide a communications procedure

The Human Rights Council in its resolution 13/3 of 24 March 2010 decided to extend the mandate of the Open-ended Working Group on an Optional Protocol to the Convention on the Right of the Child to provide a communications procedure until the seventeenth session of the Council and at the same time mandated the Open-ended Working Group to elaborate an Optional Protocol to the Convention on the Rights of the Child to provide a communications procedure.

In the above resolution, the Human Rights Council also requested the Chairperson-Rapporteur of the Open-ended Working Group to prepare a proposal for a draft Optional Protocol to be used as a basis for the forthcoming negotiations of the Open-ended Working Group. According to the resolution, the proposal for a draft optional protocol should be circulated by September 2010 in all the official languages of the United Nations.

It was specified in the resolution that the Chairperson-Rapporteur should take into account the views expressed and inputs provided during the first session of the Open-ended Working Group (16 – 18 December 2009), give due regard to the views of the Committee on the Rights of the Child and, where appropriate, to the views of relevant United Nations special procedures and other experts.

Ahead of the important task conferred upon me, I elaborated a Non-paper containing possible elements of the draft Optional Protocol, which was circulated by the Office of the High Commissioner for Human Rights to all the Permanent and Observer Missions in Geneva on 17 May 2010. The Non-paper was discussed during the informal consultations with the United Nations Member and Observer States and other stakeholders that took place on 26 May 2010 in Geneva. I was listening carefully to comments presented at this meeting and received with appreciation additional ones in writing.

While preparing the proposal for a draft Optional Protocol (Annex I) I profited also from the expert consultations in which I participated on 21 and 22 June 2010 in Geneva. The consultations on the Optional Protocol were organized by the Office of the High Commissioner for Human Rights in cooperation with the International Commission of Jurists. A number of United Nations and civil society experts on the rights of the child took part in the consultations, including the Chair and the Vice-Chair of the Committee on the Rights of the Child.

I have prepared the proposal in the light of the importance of ensuring consistency and coherence within the expanding range of international human rights instruments. Thus, wherever possible and appropriate, the draft uses agreed language from the optional protocols and/or provisions of the treaties, which have created the existing communications procedures under the core human rights treaties. The draft also takes into account the expert submissions and views expressed in the discussion during the first session of the Open-ended Working group that took place in December 2009.

The Explanatory Memorandum to the proposal for a draft Optional Protocol (Annex II) contains a rationale for each Article of the proposal, for ease of orientation also with references to provisions of the existing instruments that have been used as inspiration.

I hope this proposal will provide a good basis for the deliberations at the second session of the Open-ended Working Group in December 2010 and that its early publication will allow sufficient time for a careful preparation for negotiations so that we can progress consistently and effectively.

Bratislava, 30 July 2010

Drahoslav Štefánek
Chairperson-Rapporteur
I. Proposal for a draft Optional Protocol to the Convention on the Rights of the Child to provide a communications procedure

Preamble

The States Parties to the present Protocol,

Considering that, in accordance with the principles proclaimed in the Charter of the United Nations, recognition of the inherent dignity and the equal and inalienable rights of all members of the human family is the foundation of freedom, justice and peace in the world,

Noting that the Universal Declaration of Human Rights proclaims that all human beings are born free and equal in dignity and rights and that everyone is entitled to all the rights and freedoms set forth therein, without distinction of any kind, such as race, colour, sex, language, religion, political or other opinion, national or social origin, property, birth or other status,

Reaffirming the universality, indivisibility, interdependence and interrelatedness of all human rights and fundamental freedoms,

Recognising that children’s special and dependent status creates real difficulties for them in pursuing remedies for violations of their rights,

Encouraging States Parties to develop appropriate legislative, administrative and other measures, in accordance with Article 4 of the Convention on the Rights of the Child, to enable children and others acting on their behalf and in their best interests to have access to effective remedies for violations of their rights, including, where appropriate, through the establishment of national human rights institutions mandated to promote and safeguard the rights of children,

Considering that, in order further to achieve the purposes of the Convention on the Rights of the Child (hereinafter referred to as the Convention) and the implementation of its provisions, it would be appropriate to enable the Committee on the Rights of the Child (hereinafter referred to as the Committee) to carry out the functions provided for in the present Protocol.

Have agreed as follows:

Article 1

Competence of the Committee on the Rights of the Child to receive and consider communications

1. A State Party to the present Protocol (“State Party”) recognizes the competence of the Committee to receive and consider communications as provided for by the provisions of the present Protocol.

2. The Committee shall exercise the functions conferred on it by the present Protocol in a manner that respects the rights of the child and ensures that the best interests of the child is a primary consideration in all actions concerning the child.
Article 2

Individual communications

1. Communications may be submitted by or on behalf of an individual or group of individuals, within the jurisdiction of a State Party, claiming to be victims of a violation by that State Party of any of the rights set forth in:
   (a) the Convention;
   (b) the Optional Protocol to the Convention on sale of children, child prostitution and child pornography;
   (c) the Optional Protocol to the Convention on the involvement of children in armed conflict.

2. A State Party may, at the time of signature or ratification of the present Protocol or accession thereto, declare that it does not recognize the competence of the Committee provided for in sub-paragraph 1(b) and/or (c) of the present article.

3. Any State Party having made a declaration in accordance with paragraph 2 of the present article may, at any time, amend or withdraw this declaration by notification to the Secretary-General of the United Nations.

4. Where a communication is submitted on behalf of an individual or group of individuals, this shall be with their consent unless the author can justify acting on their behalf without such consent.

5. Where the author of a communication is acting on behalf of a child as defined in Article 1 of the Convention, or a group of children, the Committee shall determine whether it is in the best interests of the child or group of children concerned to consider the communication.

Article 3

Collective communications

1. National human rights institutions and ombudsman institutions and non-governmental organizations in consultative status with the United Nations Economic and Social Council with particular competence in the matters covered by the Convention and its Optional Protocols, which have been approved for that purpose by the Committee, may submit collective communications alleging grave or systematic violations of any of the rights set forth in:
   (a) the Convention;
   (b) the Optional Protocol to the Convention on sale of children, child prostitution and child pornography;
   (c) the Optional Protocol to the Convention on the involvement of children in armed conflict.

2. Each State Party may, at the time of signature or ratification of the present Protocol or accession thereto, declare that it does not recognize the competence of the Committee provided for in sub-paragraph 1(b) and/or (c) of the present article.

3. Any State Party may also, at the time of ratification or accession to the present Protocol, or at any time thereafter, declare that it recognizes the right of any national non-governmental organization within its jurisdiction, which has particular competence in the
matters covered by the Convention and its Optional Protocols, to submit collective communications as provided for in paragraph 1 of the present article.

**Article 4**

**Admissibility**

The Committee shall consider a communication inadmissible when:

(a) The communication is anonymous;

(b) The communication constitutes an abuse of the right of submission of such communications or is incompatible with the provisions of the Convention and/or its Optional Protocols;

(c) The same matter has already been examined by the Committee or has been or is being examined under another procedure of international investigation or settlement;

(d) All available domestic remedies have not been exhausted. This shall not be the rule where the application of the remedies is unreasonably prolonged or unlikely to bring effective relief. The Committee shall interpret the application of the remedies in a manner sensitive to the impact that delays may cause to a child’s well-being and development.

(e) It is manifestly ill-founded or not sufficiently substantiated; or when

(f) The facts that are the subject of the communication occurred prior to the entry into force of the present Protocol for the State Party concerned unless those facts continued after that date.

**Article 5**

**Interim Measures**

1. At any time after the receipt of a communication and before a determination on the merits has been reached, the Committee may transmit to the State Party concerned for its urgent consideration a request that the State Party take such interim measures as may be necessary to avoid possible irreparable damage to the victim or victims of the alleged violations.

2. Where the Committee exercises its discretion under paragraph 1 of the present article, this does not imply a determination on admissibility or on the merits of the communication.

**Article 6**

**Transmission of the communication**

1. Unless the Committee considers a communication inadmissible without reference to the State Party concerned, the Committee shall bring any communication submitted to it under the present Protocol confidentially to the attention of the State Party concerned as soon as possible.

2. The identity of any individual or group of individuals concerned shall not be revealed to the State Party or otherwise without the express consent of the individual or individuals concerned.
3. Within three months, the receiving State Party shall submit to the Committee written explanations or statements clarifying the matter and the remedy, if any, that may have been provided by that State Party.

**Article 7**

**Friendly settlement**

1. The Committee shall make available its good offices to the parties concerned with a view to reaching a friendly settlement of the matter on the basis of the respect for the obligations set forth in the Convention and/or its Optional Protocols.

2. An agreement on a friendly settlement closes consideration of the communication under the present Protocol.

**Article 8**

**Consideration of the merits**

1. The Committee shall hold closed meetings when examining communications received under the present Protocol.

2. The Committee shall consider communications received under the present Protocol in the light of all documentation submitted to it, provided that this documentation is transmitted to the parties concerned.

3. When examining a communication under the present Protocol, the Committee may consult, as appropriate, relevant documentation emanating from other United Nations bodies, specialised agencies, funds, programmes and mechanisms, and other bodies, including from regional human rights systems, and any observations or comments by the State Party concerned.

4. After examining a communication, the Committee shall, without delay, transmit its views on the communication, together with its recommendations, if any, to the parties concerned.

5. The Committee shall develop and publish rules of procedure to be followed when exercising the functions conferred on it by the present Protocol. In doing so, it shall have regard to Article 1(2) of the present Protocol.

**Article 9**

**Follow-up to the views of the Committee**

1. The State Party shall give due consideration to the views of the Committee, together with its recommendations, if any, and shall submit to the Committee, within three months, a written response, including information on any action taken in the light of the views and recommendations of the Committee.

2. The Committee may invite the State Party to submit further information about any measures the State Party has taken in response to its views or recommendations, if any, including as deemed appropriate by the Committee, in the State Party’s subsequent reports under Article 44 of the Convention or under Article 12 of the Optional Protocol on sale of children, child prostitution and child pornography or Article 8 of the Optional Protocol on the involvement of children in armed conflict.
Article 10

Inquiry Procedure for Grave or Systematic Violations

1. If the Committee receives reliable information indicating grave or systematic violations by a State Party of rights set forth in:

   (a) the Convention;
   (b) the Optional Protocol to the Convention on sale of children, child prostitution and child pornography;
   (c) the Optional Protocol to the Convention on the involvement of children in armed conflict

the Committee shall invite the State Party to cooperate in the examination of the information and to this end to submit observations without delay with regard to the information concerned.

2. Taking into account any observations that may have been submitted by the State Party concerned, as well as any other reliable information available to it, the Committee may designate one or more of its members to conduct an inquiry and to report urgently to the Committee. Where warranted and with the consent of the State Party, the inquiry may include a visit to its territory.

3. Such an inquiry shall be conducted confidentially and the cooperation of the State Party shall be sought at all stages of the proceedings.

4. After examining the findings of such an inquiry, the Committee shall transmit without delay these findings to the State Party concerned together with any comments and recommendations.

5. The State Party concerned shall, within three months of receiving the findings, comments and recommendations transmitted by the Committee, submit its observations to the Committee.

6. After such proceedings have been completed with regard to an inquiry made in accordance with paragraph 2 of the present article, the Committee may, after consultations with the State Party concerned, decide to include a summary account of the results of the proceedings in its report provided for in Article 15 of the present Protocol.

7. Each State Party may, at the time of signature or ratification of the present Protocol or accession thereto, declare that it does not recognize the competence of the Committee provided for in the present article.

8. Any State Party having made a declaration in accordance with paragraph 7 of the present article may, at any time, withdraw this declaration by notification to the Secretary-General of the United Nations.

Article 11

Reporting on Grave or Systematic Violations

1. The Committee may invite the State Party concerned to include in its reports under Article 44 of the Convention, or under Article 12 of the Optional Protocol to the Convention on sale of children, child prostitution and child pornography or under Article 8 of the Optional Protocol to the Convention on the involvement of children in armed conflict, as appropriate, details of any measures taken in response to an inquiry conducted under Article 10 of the present Protocol.
2. The Committee may, if necessary, after the end of the period of three months referred to in Article 10(5), invite the State Party concerned to inform it of the measures taken in response to such an inquiry.

**Article 12**

**Inter-state communications**

1. A State Party to the present Protocol may at any time declare that it recognizes the competence of the Committee to receive and consider communications in which a State Party claims that another State Party is not fulfilling its obligations under:
   
   (a) the Convention;
   
   (b) the Optional Protocol to the Convention on sale of children, child prostitution and child pornography;
   
   (c) the Optional Protocol to the Convention on the involvement of children in armed conflict.

2. The Committee shall not receive communications concerning a State Party which has not made such a declaration, nor communications from a State Party which has not made such a declaration.

**Article 13**

**Protection measures**

A State Party shall take all appropriate steps to ensure that individuals under its jurisdiction are not subjected to any form of ill treatment or intimidation as a consequence of communicating with the Committee pursuant to the present Protocol.

**Article 14**

**International assistance and cooperation**

1. The Committee may transmit, with the consent of the State Party concerned, to United Nations specialized agencies, funds and programmes and other competent bodies, its views or recommendations concerning communications and inquiries that indicate a need for technical advice or assistance, along with the State Party’s observations and suggestions, if any, on these views or recommendations.

2. The Committee may also bring to the attention of such bodies, with the consent of the State Party concerned, any matter arising out of communications considered under the present Protocol which may assist them in deciding, each within its field of competence, on the advisability of international measures likely to contribute to assisting States Parties in achieving progress in implementation of the rights recognized in the Convention and/or its Optional Protocols.
Article 15

Report to General Assembly

The Committee shall include in its biannual report submitted to the General Assembly of the United Nations, in accordance with article 44(5) of the Convention, a summary of its activities under the present Protocol.

Article 16

Publicity

Each State Party undertakes to make widely known and to disseminate the present Protocol and to facilitate access to information about the views and recommendations of the Committee, in particular on matters involving the State Party, by appropriate and active means to adults and children alike.

Article 17

Signature, Ratification and Accession

1. The present Protocol is open for signature by any State that has signed ratified or acceded to the Convention.

2. The present Protocol is subject to ratification by any State that has ratified or acceded to the Convention. Instruments of ratification shall be deposited with the Secretary-General of the United Nations.

3. The present Protocol shall be open to accession by any State that has ratified or acceded to the Convention.

4. Accession shall be effected by the deposit of an instrument of accession with the Secretary-General of the United Nations.

Article 18

Entry into Force

1. The present Protocol shall enter into force three months after the deposit of the tenth instrument of ratification or accession.

2. For each State ratifying the present Protocol or acceding to it after its entry into force, the present Protocol shall enter into force three months after the date of the deposit of its own instrument of ratification or accession.

Article 19

Reservations

No reservations to the present Protocol shall be permitted.
Article 20

Amendments

1. Any State Party may propose an amendment to the present Protocol and submit it to the Secretary-General of the United Nations. The Secretary-General shall communicate any proposed amendments to States Parties with a request to be notified whether they favour a meeting of States Parties for the purpose of considering and deciding upon the proposals. In the event that, within four months of the date of such communication, at least one third of the States Parties favour such a meeting, the Secretary-General shall convene the meeting under the auspices of the United Nations. Any amendment adopted by a majority of two thirds of the States Parties present and voting shall be submitted by the Secretary-General to the General Assembly for approval, and thereafter to all States Parties for acceptance.

2. An amendment adopted and approved in accordance with paragraph 1 of the present Article shall enter into force on the thirtieth day after the number of instruments of acceptance deposited reaches two thirds of the number of States Parties at the date of adoption of the amendment. Thereafter, the amendment shall enter into force for any State Party on the thirtieth day following the deposit of its own instrument of acceptance. An amendment shall be binding only on those States Parties which have accepted it.

Article 21

Denunciation

1. Any State Party may denounce the present Protocol at any time by written notification to the Secretary-General of the United Nations. The denunciation shall take effect one year after the date of receipt of the notification by the Secretary-General of the United Nations.

Denunciation shall be without prejudice to the continued application of the provisions of the present Protocol to any communication submitted under Articles 2, 3 or 4 or any inquiry initiated under Article 12 before the effective date of denunciation.

Article 22

Notification by the Secretary General

The Secretary-General of the United Nations shall inform all States of:

(a) Signatures, ratifications and accessions under the present Protocol;

(b) The date of entry into force of the present Protocol and of any amendment under article 20;

(c) Any denunciation under Article 21.

Article 23

Languages

1. The present Protocol, of which the Arabic, Chinese, English, French, Russian and Spanish texts are equally authentic, shall be deposited in the archives of the United Nations.

2. The Secretary-General of the United Nations shall transmit certified copies of the present Protocol to all States.
II. Explanatory Memorandum

Preamble

The wording is inspired by the Preambles to the Convention on the Rights of the Child (CRC) and by the first Optional Protocol to the International Covenant on Civil and Political Rights (OP1 ICCPR), Optional Protocol to the Convention on the Elimination of All Forms of Discrimination against Women (OP CEDAW) and Optional Protocol to the International Covenant on Economic, Social and Cultural Rights (OP ICESCR). The Optional Protocol to the Convention on the Rights of Persons with Disabilities (OP CRPD) has no preamble.

This is the derivation of the paragraphs in the draft:

PP1: PP1 of the Convention on the Rights of the Child (CRC) and PP1 OP ICESCR.

PP2: PP2 OP ICESCR (similar to PP2 OP CEDAW).

PP3: PP4 OP ICESCR.

PP4: Inspired by the HRC resolutions on the Optional Protocol to the CRC to provide a communications procedure (PP5 of A/HRC/RES/11/1 of 17 June 2009 and PP4 of A/HRC/RES/13/3 of 24 March 2010).

PP5: During the first session of the Open-ended Working Group on an Optional Protocol to the CRC to provide a communications procedure (OEWG) in December 2009, the importance of encouraging the development of effective remedies for violations of children’s rights at the national level was raised, including through the development of national human rights institutions for children – children’s ombudspersons and similar institutions. This has also been promoted in the HRC resolution on the Rights of the Child (A/HRC/RES/10/14 of 26 March 2009), in particular in paragraphs 10 and 11.

PP6: Inspired by PP1 OP1 ICCPR and PP6 OP ICESCR.

Article 1 (Competence of the Committee on the Rights of the Child to receive and consider communications)

Paragraph 1 is a standard provision, included with slight variations in the four existing OPs and also in the provisions of four Conventions which provide communications procedures.

Paragraph 2 responds to discussions at the OEWG’s first session, when it was stressed by experts and State representatives that the communications procedure for the CRC needs to take account of the special status and rights of children and be “child-friendly”. Article 3(1) of the CRC has been identified by the Committee on the Rights of the Child as a principle (the best interest principle) of cross-cutting relevance to the whole Convention. The Article 1(5) of the present draft requires the Committee to “have regard” to Article 1(2) of the present draft in developing and publishing rules of procedure regarding the communications procedure.

Article 2 (Individual communications)

Similar provisions occur with slight variations in the four OPs and the Conventions provisions. The OPs to CEDAW, ICESCR and CRPD and also the International Convention on the Elimination of All Forms of Racial Discrimination (ICERD) provisions
recognise that individuals and groups of individuals may submit communications. The OP1 to ICCPR and Convention against Torture and Other Cruel, Inhuman or Degrading Treatment or Punishment (CAT), International Convention on the Protection of the Rights of All Migrant Workers and Members of Their Families (ICMW) and International Convention for the Protection of All Persons from Enforced Disappearance (ICED) refer to “individuals” who claim to be victims, which allows for more than one applicant; also in practice communications from more than one individual have been considered under the OP1 ICCPR and CAT. While the OP1 to ICCPR and the ICERD provisions do not refer to communications being made “on behalf of” individuals, in practice the relevant Committees can consider such applications, and their Rules of Procedure make this clear (see Human Rights Committee Rules, Rule 96(b) and CERD Rules, Rule 91(b), which for example states: “… as a general rule, the communication should be submitted by the individual himself or by his relatives or designated representatives; the Committee may however in exceptional cases accept to consider a communication submitted by others on behalf of an alleged victim when it appears that the victim is unable to submit the communication himself, and the author of the communication justifies his acting on the victim’s behalf.”).

Article 2(4) of the present draft incorporates the following additional wording derived from the OP CEDAW (Article 2) and OP ICESCR (Article 2): “Where a communication is submitted on behalf of individuals or groups of individuals, this shall be with their consent unless the author can justify acting on their behalf without such consent”. During the OEWG first session, a number of States raised questions about how to ensure that victims who were children at the time of the violation but could not exhaust their domestic remedies before they reached the age of 18 would be able to submit communications. Therefore, the notion “individual” is used in Article 2(1), as it is in all the existing procedures, rather than “child”. To address States’ concerns about possible manipulation of child victims by those submitting communications on their behalf, paragraph 5 is added to ensure that in such cases the Committee applies the best interest principle. This reflects the principle identified by the Committee on the Rights of the Child in Article 3(1) of the Convention on the Rights of the Child. Another option could be to include this provision in the Rules of Procedure, which the Committee will develop regarding the communications procedure before the Protocol comes into use.

Extending the communications procedure to cover the provisions in the two existing OPs to the CRC is consistent with the only existing parallel: the OP2 ICCPR, aiming at the abolition of the death penalty. It extends the competence of the Human Rights Committee to cover communications concerning its provisions (Article 5), unless states make a contrary statement on ratification or accession (Article 5 of OP2 ICCPR: “With respect to the States Parties to the first Optional Protocol to the International Covenant on Civil and Political Rights adopted on 16 December 1966, the competence of the Human Rights Committee to receive and consider communications from individuals subject to its jurisdiction shall extend to the provisions of the present Protocol, unless the State Party concerned has made a statement to the contrary at the moment of ratification or accession.”).

**Article 3 (Collective communications)**

Experts at the first session of the OEWG encouraged States to consider including provisions allowing “collective communications” – defined in this context as communications alleging grave or systematic violations of any of the rights set forth in the Convention and/or its Optional Protocols (OPs), without the identification of individual
victims. This was strongly advocated by experts consulted during the preparation of this
draft, in particular during the expert consultations in Geneva in June 2010.

The issue of collective communications is not totally new within the framework of the UN
standard setting – it was already discussed during the negotiations on OP CEDAW and OP
ICESCR.

In this draft, it is suggested that the Committee should be able to consider collective
communications from national human rights institutions or ombudsman institutions and
NGOs in consultative status with the United Nations Economic and Social Council
(ECOSOC) and from, with particular competence in the matters covered by the CRC and its
OPs, leaving it to the Committee on the Rights of the Child to determine if the body should
be approved for this purpose. Further criteria for approval could be defined in the
Committee’s Rules of Procedure.

Regional human rights mechanisms in Europe and Africa allow for the submission of
collective complaints. In the Council of Europe, the Additional Protocol to the European
Social Charter providing for a system of collective complaints was opened for signature in
1995 and came into force in 1998. It enables the European Committee of Social Rights to
consider communications made by certain organisations approved for the purpose, alleging
unsatisfactory application of the Charter. A State can also declare that it recognises the right
of national non-governmental organisations within its jurisdiction which have particular
competence in the matters governed by the Charter, to lodge complaints against it.

The African Charter on the Rights and Welfare of the Child mandates the African
Committee of Experts on the Rights and Welfare of the Child to receive communications
“from any person, group or nongovernmental organization recognized by the Organization
of African Unity, by a Member State, or the United Nations relating to any matter covered
by this Charter.” (Article 44(1)). The Committee’s Guidelines for the consideration of
communications provided for in Article 44 state:

“1. Communications may be presented by individuals, including the
victimized child and/or his parents or legal representatives, witnesses, a
group of individuals or non-governmental organizations recognized by the
African Union, by a Member State or by any other institution of the United
Nations system.

2. The Author of the communication shall specify either to have been a
victim of violations of the rights spelt out in the Charter, or to act on behalf
of a victim or of other eligible parties.

3. A Communication may be presented on behalf of a victim without his/her
agreement on condition that the author is able to prove that his/her action is
taken in the supreme interest of the child. The victimized child who is able to
express his/her opinions shall be informed of the communications presented
on his/her behalf…”

International complaints procedures established by the United Nations Educational,
Scientific and Cultural Organization (UNESCO) and under the International Labour
Organisation’s (ILO’s) Constitution also allow for collective communications without
identification of victims of violations. Individuals, groups of individuals and NGOs can
submit a complaint to the UNESCO Committee on Conventions and Recommendations if
they are direct victims or if they have a sufficient connection to the claimed violations. The
procedure is confidential. It is the Committee’s responsibility to decide whether the affair in
question is an individual and specific “case” concerning violation of human rights or a
“question” of “massive, systematic or flagrant violations of human rights which result
either from a policy contrary to human rights applied de jure or de facto by a State or from
an accumulation of individual cases forming a consistent pattern”. The International Labour Organization’s complaint procedure is regulated by Articles 26 to 34 of the ILO Constitution, under which a complaint alleging that a Member State is not “securing the effective observance” of a convention to which it is a party, can be filed by another Member State, party to the same convention; any delegate to the ILO Conference; or by the ILO Governing Body. When a complaint is received, the Governing Body can appoint a Commission of Inquiry, composed of three independent members, to carry out an examination and formulate any recommendations.

**Article 4 (Admissibility)**

Article 4 is derived from Article 2 OP CRPD. The only distinctive feature in the text is a reference to a child’s well being and development in subparagraph d.

Before considering the merits of a communication, the Committee needs to determine whether it meets certain criteria applying to all communications and is therefore “admissible”.

The existing procedures provide a number of standard reasons for rejecting communications (declaring them “inadmissible”) The following provisions relate to admissibility: OP1 ICCPR Articles 3 and 5; OP CEDAW Articles 3 and 4; OP ICESCR Article 3; OP CRPD Article 2; CAT Article 22; ICERD Article 14; ICMW Article 77; ICED Article 31.

**Article 5 (Interim Measures)**

This is a standard provision in the OPs to CEDAW, ICESCR and CRPD, from which Article 6 is derived, and also in ICED. It is provided for in the Rules of Procedure of the Human Rights Committee, CERD and CAT – e.g. see Human Rights Committee Rules of Procedure, Rule 92. Given the developmental status and vulnerability of children, it was strongly argued at the first session of the OEWG for its inclusion in the new CRC OP.

Further details, including a child protection policy, could be included in the Committee’s Rules of Procedure; this could be explicitly referred to in article 8(5) of the present draft.

**Article 6 (Transmission of the communication)**

The existing procedures include various provisions defining the stages of consideration of communications. More detailed provisions have been included in the Rules of Procedure adopted by the respective Committees. In the present draft these stages are defined in Articles 6, 7 and 8.

The general rule in Article 6, paragraph 1 is that the Committee must bring the communication confidentially to the attention of the relevant State Party. Paragraph 1 is a standard provision, although the parallel formulations in the existing instruments vary slightly– e.g. see OP CEDAW Article 6, OP1 ICCPR Article 4, OP ICESCR Article 6, OP CRPD Article 3. Emphasis was placed during the first OEWG session on ensuring that communications concerning children, given their developmental status, are processed without delay. So Article 6 requires the Committee on the Rights of the Child to bring the communication to the attention of the State “as soon as possible” and includes a time limit of three months for the State’s response.

Article 4 of the present draft requires that communications cannot be anonymous. But paragraph 2 of Article 8 requires that the identity of any individual petitioner must not be
disclosed to the State Party, or otherwise, without their consent. The provisions establishing some existing procedures together with Rules of Procedure developed by Treaty Bodies appear to allow for the identity of petitioner(s) to be kept confidential from States and others. This is particularly important for children as vulnerable individuals. See ICERD Article 14(6)(a).

**Article 7 (Friendly settlement)**

Article 7 is derived from agreed language in the OP ICESCR Article 7; also in relation to inter-state communications, in OP ICESCR Article 10(1)(d), ICCPR Article 41(1)(e), CAT Article 21(1)(e), and ICMW Article 76(1)(d). This enables the Committee to play a pro-active role in encouraging an agreed resolution of the issue raised in the communication, without a prolonged examination.

**Article 8 (Consideration of the merits)**

Paragraph 1 is a standard provision – e.g. see OP1 ICCPR Article 5, OP CEDAW Article 7, OP ICESCR Article 8.

Paragraph 2 is derived from agreed language in OP ICESCR Article 8(1).

Paragraph 3 is derived from agreed language in OP ICESCR Article 8(3), allowing the Committee to consult a range of material from other UN and UN-related bodies and international bodies, including e.g. relevant decisions of regional human rights mechanisms, in addition of course to observations and comments from the State concerned. Similar provisions have been included in the Rules of Procedure for CEDAW, CAT and CERD. Paragraph 3 could be deleted and instead reflected in the Rules of Procedure to be adopted by the Committee. Rules of Procedure of CEDAW, Rule 72(2) state: “The Committee or the working group set up by it to consider a communication may, at any time in the course of the examination, obtain through the Secretary-General any documentation from organizations in the United Nations system or other bodies that may assist in the disposal of the communication, provided that the Committee shall afford each party an opportunity to comment on such documentation or information within fixed time limits.” Similarly see CAT Rules of Procedure, Rule 112(2): “The Committee, the Working Group, or the rapporteur may at any time in the course of the examination obtain any document from United Nations bodies, specialized agencies, or other sources that may assist in the consideration of the complaint.”. Also see CERD Rules of Procedure, Rule 95(2).

Paragraph 4 is a standard provision, language derived from OP ICESCR Article 6, OP CEDAW Article 7(2) and from similar language found in OP1 ICCPR Article 5(4), OP CRPD Article 5, CAT Article 22(7), ICMW Article 77(7) and ICED Article 31(5) - but with addition of “without delay” to emphasise again the need to process communications concerning children as quickly as possible.

Paragraph 5 requires the Committee to develop and publish Rules of Procedure, augmenting its existing Rules and relating to its functions under this OP. The language is derived from OP CEDAW Article 7, with the addition of a reference to Article 1(2) of the present draft – requiring the Committee to exercise the functions conferred on it by the present Protocol in a manner that respects the rights of the child including the principle that in all actions concerning children, the best interest of the child shall be a primary consideration. The Committees for all existing communications procedures which are in force have developed and published Rules of Procedure.
Article 9 (Follow-up to the views of the Committee)

Paragraph 1 uses agreed language from OP ICESCR Article 6 (echoed in OP CEDAW Article 7(4)), but substituting a time limit of three months rather than six months. ICERD, Article 11(1) requires the State concerned to respond within three months.

Paragraph 2 concerns one possible method of follow-up to the Committee’s views and recommendations (if any) adopted on a communication, derived from agreed language in OP CEDAW Article 7(5) and OP ICESCR Article 9(3). Various methods of follow-up have also been developed by Committees in their Rules of Procedure (see 2009 overview of follow up procedures to communications – HRI/ICM/2009/7, 11 November 2009).

Article 10 (Inquiry Procedure for Grave or Systematic Violations)

Articles 10 and 11 of the present draft concern the competence of the Committee to undertake inquiries when informed of grave or systematic violations of the Convention and/or its OPs. OPs to CEDAW, ICESCR and CRPD as well as CAT Articles 20 and 28 and ICED Article 33 include provisions allowing inquiries. OP CEDAW, OP CRPD and CAT allow a state to declare on ratification or accession that it does not recognise the competence of the Committee to carry out such inquiries. In the OP ICESCR, the onus is on States to make a declaration at any time recognising the competence of the Committee to undertake an inquiry.

The language of Article 10 is identical to that in OP CEDAW (Article 8) and OP CRPD (Article 6), except that as in other articles, to ensure a rapid procedure in the best interest of children, “without delay” has been added to paragraphs 1 and 4, and a time limit of three months, rather than six, inserted in para. 5. Article 10 follows OP CEDAW Article 10, OP CRPD Article 8 and CAT Articles 20 and 28 in that States not wishing to be bound by this provision must make a specific declaration in that respect.

Article 11 (Reporting on Grave or Systematic Violations)

This follow-up procedure on inquiry is derived from agreed language in OP CEDAW Article 9, OP ICESCR Article 12 and OP CRPD Article 7.

Article 12 (Inter-state communications)

Provisions allowing for inter-state communications are set out in: OP ICESCR Article 10 – where it is an optional procedure; Article 41–43 of ICCPR and also in CAT Article 21, ICERD Article 11, ICMW Article 76 and ICED Article 32. It appears that there have been, as yet, no inter-state communications under any of the instruments. The wording of the present Article is based on Article 32 of ICED.

Article 13 (Protection measures)

At the first session of the OEWG, experts and State representatives raised concerns about the vulnerability of child petitioners and the importance of ensuring that their involvement in the communications procedure does not place them at risk. The possible vulnerability of petitioners has been considered in the elaboration of other Protocols and Article 13 is derived from the agreed wording in OP ICESCR (Article 13). OP CEDAW (Article 11) omits the words “any form of”. 

Article 14 (International Assistance)

This Article derives from OP ICESCR Article 14 which includes a unique and detailed procedure enabling the Committee, with the consent of the State Party concerned, to inform UN agencies when communications and/or the Committee’s views and recommendations on them, suggest a need for technical advice or assistance to States. The issue of international assistance in the context of the OP was also raised by some delegations in the first session of the OEWG. The Chairperson of the Committee on the Rights of the Child recalled there that the OP ICESCR contained a provision to that effect and that the CRC OP could take a similar approach.

The Chairperson-Rapporteur will leave the issue of establishment of a special/trust fund (as it is the case in OP ICESCR Article 14(2)) for consideration by the Member States.

Article 15 (Report to General Assembly)

This is a standard provision, similar to those in OP1 ICCPR Article 6, OP CEDAW Article 12 and OP ICESCR Article 15.

Article 16 (Publicity)

It has become a standard provision to require States parties to make respective instruments well known and to facilitate access about the views and recommendations of Committees (OP CEDAW Article 13, OP ICESCR Article 16). The requirement of "appropriate and active means" is by analogy based on Article 42 of the CRC, under which "States Parties undertake to make the principles and provisions of the Convention widely known, by appropriate and active means, to adults and children alike". The importance of making such information accessible to children by child-friendly language was also stressed during the first session of the OEWG. Article 17 of the OP CRPD should be referred to in this regard as well: "The text of the present Protocol shall be made available in accessible formats."

Article 17 (Signature, Ratification and Accession)

Article 17 uses agreed language derived from OP1 ICCPR Article 8, OP CEDAW Article 15 and OP ICESCR Article 1.

Article 18 (Entry into Force)

Article 18 uses agreed wording from OP1 ICCPR Article 9, OP CEDAW Article 16, OP ICESCR Article 18 and OP CRPD Article 13.

Article 19 (Reservations)

Article 19 follows OP CEDAW Article 17 in not permitting reservations to the Protocol. The Chairperson-Rapporteur wishes to underline the optional nature of the OP, as well as the fact that the OP is not to create any new substantive rights; those are stipulated in the CRC and the two existing substantive CRC OPs.
Article 20 (Amendments)

This is a standard provision which occurs with various changes of wording in OPI ICCPR Article 11, OP CEDAW Article 18, OP ICESCR Article 19 and OP CRPD Article 15. Article 20 is derived from the agreed wording in the more recent Protocols – OP ICESCR and OP CRPD.

Article 21 (Denunciation)

Paragraph 1 is a standard provision occurring in OPI ICCPR, OP CEDAW, OP ICESCR and OP CRPD. The period after which denunciation takes effect varies (OPI ICCPR three months; OP CEDAW six months; OP ICESCR six months; OP CRPD one year). Article 21 follows OP CRPD.

Paragraph 2 allows continued application of the provisions of the Protocol to any communications submitted to the Committee, and any inquiry initiated by the Committee, prior to the date of denunciation.

Article 22 (Notification by the Secretary General)

This is a standard provision, occurring in OPI ICCPR Article 13, OP CEDAW Article 20, OP ICESCR Article 21 and OP CRPD Article 9. Article 21 follows the wording of OP CEDAW. (OPI ICCPR and OP ICESCR refer to provisions in the respective Covenants defining which States can sign and ratify; Article 46 of the CRC states: “The present Convention shall be open for signature by all States.”; so does CEDAW, Article 25. So “all States” is used in Article 21.)

Article 23 (Languages)

This is a standard provision, occurring in OPI ICCPR Article 14, OP CEDAW Article 21, OP ICESCR Article 22. OP CRPD Article 18 provides a shorter version: “The Arabic, Chinese, English, French, Russian and Spanish texts of the present Protocol shall be equally authentic”. “All States…” is used in paragraph 2, as explained under Article 21 above.
Note:

In the explanatory memorandum, the following abbreviations are used:

CAT – Convention against Torture and Other Cruel, Inhuman or Degrading Treatment or Punishment
CERD – Committee on the Elimination of All Forms of Discrimination
CRC – Convention on the Rights of the Child
CRPD – Convention on the Rights of Persons with Disabilities
ECOSOC – United Nations Economic and Social Council
HRC – Human Rights Council
ICED – International Convention for the Protection of All Persons from Enforced Disappearance
ICERD – International Convention on the Elimination of All Forms of Racial Discrimination
ICMW – International Convention on the Protection of the Rights of All Migrant Workers and Members of Their Families
ILO – International Labour Organisation
OEWG – Open-ended Working Group on an Optional Protocol to the Convention on the Rights of the Child to provide a communications procedure
OP CEDAW – Optional Protocol to the Convention on the Elimination of All Forms of Discrimination against Women
OP CRPD – the Optional Protocol to the Convention on the Rights of Persons with Disabilities
OP ICESCR – Optional Protocol to the International Covenant on Economic, Social and Cultural Rights
OP1 ICCPR – Optional Protocol providing communications procedures to the International Covenant on Civil and Political Rights;
OP2 ICCPR – Optional Protocol to the ICCPR on the abolition of the death penalty
UNESCO – United Nations Educational, Scientific and Cultural Organization
OP/OPs” – optional protocol/optional protocols (substantive CRC OPs or OPs providing communication procedures to ICCPR, ICESCR, CEDAW and CRPD referred also as “four OPs”)

“Conventions provisions” – the provisions in four existing human rights conventions providing communications procedures (CAT, ICERD, ICMW, ICED)